

DRAFT VICTORIA PARK/PAKAPAKANTHI (PARK 16) MASTER PLAN

Consultation Summary Report

March 24

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COUNTRY

The City of Adelaide acknowledges that we are located on the traditional Country of the Kaurna people of the Adelaide Plains and pays respect to Elders past, present and emerging.

We recognise and respect their cultural heritage, beliefs and relationship with the land. We also extend that respect to visitors of other Aboriginal Language Groups and other First Nations.

DOCUMENT PROPERTIES

Contact for enquiries and proposed changes

If you have any questions regarding this document or if you have a suggestion for improvements, please contact:

Contact Officer: Jared Wilson
Title: Team Leader Park Lands Planning
Program: City Shaping
Phone: (08) 8203 7199
Email: J.Wilson@cityofadelaide.com.au

Record Details

HPRM Reference: ACC2024/38619
HPRM Container: 2022/01026

Version History

Version	Revision Date	Revised By	Revision Description
1	20/12/2023	Tom Rossini	Draft

CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COUNTRY	2
Document Properties	2
Executive Summary	4
1. How We Engaged	6
2. Who We Heard From	7
3. What We Heard	8
4. Investment Priorities	10
Appendix 1 – Written Stakeholder Submissions Summary	11

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report summarises the consultation findings on the draft Victoria Park / Pakapakanthi (Park 16) Master Plan undertaken by City of Adelaide (CoA).

Consultation was undertaken in accordance with Council's Community Consultation Policy dated 16 July 2019 and the *Local Government Act 1999* (LG Act).

Consultation commenced on **17 August 2023** and closed on **11 September 2023**.

In total, **224** submissions were received.

In summary the feedback included:

YourSay Feedback

- 80% of respondents agreed with Master Plan vision statements.
- 76% of respondents agreed with the Master Plan principles.

The most common responses related to:

- Paths (24%),
- Events and recreation (21%),
- Greening and tree canopy (21%)
- Creek rehabilitation (13%).

Written Submission Feedback

Greening / Trees / Biodiversity

- Create tree canopy over existing and new paths and throughout park to support biodiversity values.
- Community groups keen to be involved in greening of the park.
- Further communication of dog on-leash requirements is required.

Public Amenities

- Support for a nature-based plays space (away from the criterium track).
- Support for the existing toilet facility to be renewed provided the building footprint is minimised.
- Limited support was provided for the inclusion of a community pavilion.
- Need for increased lighting in northeastern section of park.

Access

- Investment in paths (and cycling infrastructure), including a perimeter pathway, path hierarchy, connectivity and disability access of the path network received strong support.

Sport and Recreation

- Realignment of the criterium track was strongly opposed by primary user groups.
- Inclusion of a central community oval and increased programming was not generally supported.
- Increased programming of the hard stand space would significantly increase safety issues for riders and other park users.
- Provision of physical barriers is required to reduce conflicts between park uses including cyclists/dogs/ball sports and improve safety.

Motorsport

- The assumptions of the continuation of motorsport in the park was raised as a primary concern by a number of community responses.
- The master plan should not reflect the short-term status quo of motorsport dominating this park.
- The activation of the hard stand areas associated with motorsport was not broadly supported - community would prefer if the hard stand was removed. This does not reflect the South Australian Motorsport Board's submission.
- Recognition of the importance of Park 16 for economic and social benefit of large scale events.

How this will help to refine the master plan

The consultation feedback will inform the final draft Victoria Park / Pakapakanthi Master Plan will help to refine the final Master Plan through the following changes:

Minor Amendments

- Vision amended to include "Contribute to people's physical and mental health".
- Illustration of pedal prix use of criterium track.
- Illustration of concrete cricket pitches on existing ovals - this was requested by South Australian Cricket Association.

Greening / Trees / Biodiversity

- Inclusion of additional canopy target.

Public Amenities

- Proposed pavilion replaced with 'outdoor rooms' to provide natural shade/shelter.
- Inclusion of additional lighting in northeastern section of the park.
- Inclusion of data collection / smart technology to capture park usage data to allow for evidence based planning and park management.
- Playspace location – consideration will be given to include a formal play space in the northeastern corner of the Park and an informal nature play space along Botanic Creek / Park Lands Trail.
- Consideration for relocating public toilets to service the proposed formal play space.

Sport and Recreation

- Proposed realignment of criterium track removed from master plan
- Proposed central community oval removed from master plan
- Consideration given to the provision of green buffers around criterium track to provide a natural barriers to reduce park use conflicts
- Implementation to be in conjunction with criterium track users

Motorsport

- Context provided regarding the South Australian Government's five-year contract to host motorsport events until 2026.

1. HOW WE ENGAGED

A comprehensive consultation package was made available through Your Say Adelaide, on **17 August 2023** to **11 September 2023** including:

- Project Information
- Background Information
- Frequently Asked Questions and Answers
- Links to other related documents including the draft Master Plan for Victoria Park / Pakapakanthi (Park 16) and the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy 2015-2025
- Online methods to provide feedback via a survey, a discussion forum and pin tool to drop comments directly onto a map
- Administration contact details for further information.

Promotion of the consultation included:

- Email notification and one-on-one meetings with relevant stakeholders/community groups
- Notification of consultation posted on Council's social media platforms and website which reached 48,288 people with a total of 1,796 clicks
- Signs and posters installed across Victoria Park / Pakapakanthi and City of Adelaide libraries and community centres
- Customer Centre screen ads and digital post-it advertising of the consultation
- A total of 500 A6 sized postcards were distributed in cafes, libraries, and community centres in suburbs around Victoria Park / Pakapakanthi (including City of Norwood, Payneham & St Peters, City of Burnside).
- Corflutes signs with QR code links to the consultation were placed in 16 locations within the Park links to survey and feedback.
- In addition, public events outside of the consultation period were used to discuss the draft Master Plan and obtain consultation feedback, including the Kadaltilla Community Forum pop-up events held between 12 September and 19 October 2023, and the City of Adelaide Biofair held in Victoria Park / Pakapakanthi on 8 October 2023.

2. WHO WE HEARD FROM

In total, 224 submissions were received comprised of:

- 17 email submissions
- 9 letter submissions (8 from stakeholder user groups)
- 198 Your Say Adelaide responses.

Written submissions

A total of 26 written submissions were received, as follows:

- 17 email submissions
- 9 letter submissions from:
 - South Australian Motor Sport Board
 - Adelaide Park Lands Association
 - South East City Residents Association (SECRA)
 - Norwood Cycling Club
 - AusCycling
 - South Australian Cricket Association
 - South Australian National Football League (SANFL)
 - The North Adelaide Society
 - Individual community member response.

Your Say Adelaide

The Your Say platform resulted in 198 submissions to the engagement, a summary of activity on the platform follows:

- 3,093 'Aware' visitors: Visitors, which could be individuals or individuals visiting on behalf of organisations, made 3,499 visits to the Your Say Adelaide page (which means some visited more than once).
- 783 'Informed' visitors: These are visitors that explored the consultation materials more closely to learn more about the project by browsing through the consultation information available.
- 202 'Engaged' visitors: These are the people who submitted feedback through the online engagement tools.
- There were 509 document downloads from the Your Say Adelaide page, including:
 - 349 downloads of the draft Master Plan for Victoria Park / Pakapakanthi (Park 16).
 - 12 downloads of the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy 2015-2025.

3. WHAT WE HEARD

Key themes and a summary of feedback has been summarised from the 224 submissions.

This feedback has assisted Council in refining the Master Plan.

The following notable statistics from the outcomes of the YourSay consultation outline a general support for the Master Plan.

- 80% of respondents agreed with the Master Plan vision statements.
- 76% of respondents agreed with the Master Plan principles.

The most common responses related to paths (24%), events and recreation (21%), greening and tree canopy (21%) and creek rehabilitation (13%). Together these initiatives accounted for 66% of responses.

The following table summarises the key feedback provided from both the YourSay responses and the written responses.

Key theme	Summary of Feedback
Vision and Principles	Broad support for the vision statements and principles contained in the draft Victoria Park Master Plan. Feedback included the desire for the Master Plan to include another key move to promote the Park as 'supporting the health and wellbeing of people'
Access and inclusion	The need for the park to be more inclusive was raised in the feedback from community, including increased access for people with disabilities, the elderly and First Nations peoples.
Path network	Investment in paths (and cycling infrastructure), including a perimeter pathway, improvements to the Criterium Track as well as a hierarchy, connectivity and disability access of the path network received strong support.
Greening/trees/ /biodiversity	Tree planting to create canopy over existing and new paths, to complement the wetlands and in strategic open space areas (understorey planting to support biodiversity values). Community groups wish to be a part of the greening of the Park with more opportunities for planning in the northern section of the Park. Further communication of dog on-leash requirements in Park 16 and the wetlands is required.
Rehabilitation of Botanic Creek	The rehabilitation of Botanic Creek and erosion control in creek beds was supported by a number of community-based groups/organisations.
Interpretation	Increased provision of interpretation with a focus on environmental, Kaurua culture and historical values of the Park.
Play space	Support for a nature based play space that is sited for safety and to reduce possible conflicts with other Park users (away from the Criterium Track).
Public Toilets	General support for the existing public amenities located in the central section of the Park to be renewed provided that the build form is contextual to the Park Lands setting and minimisation of the building footprint is prioritised.

Key theme	Summary of Feedback
	<p>Consideration could be given to the location of this toilet facility and whether it could be located closer to the heritage buildings and proposed play space.</p>
<p>Grandstand / Community Hub</p>	<p>General support for the proposed enhancement of the Grandstand, and suggestion that the café at the Grandstand is valued and a desire for it to be upgraded and more accessible/inclusive.</p> <p>There was limited support for the proposed community pavilion to accompany the hard stand area.</p>
<p>Park Activation</p>	<p>The activation of the hard stand areas associated with motorsport was not broadly supported by the community. The community's preference is to see these hard stand areas removed rather than activated.</p> <p>General sentiments outline that the Park is already activated and does not require further activation.</p>
<p>Motorsport</p>	<p>The continuation of Motorsport in Victoria Park was raised as a primary concern by a number of community respondents.</p> <p>These responses suggested the Master Plan acknowledge the South Australian Government signed a five-year contract to host the motorsport race until 2026. The continuation of Victoria Park hosting motorsport was not supported by a number of community members/group responses.</p> <p>It was suggested that the Master Plan should not reflect the short-term status quo of motorsport in the Park but should reflect the future of the Park beyond its use for motorsport and seek the removal of hard stand surfaces that are predominantly used for the purposes of motorsport.</p>
<p>Criterion Track</p>	<p>The proposed realignment of the criterium track was strongly opposed by the organisations that use the track for training and competition purposes.</p> <p>A strong history of participation and the significance of this facility to the cycling community and South Australia was outlined in responses.</p> <p>Responses outlined that the Victoria Park criterium track is currently the only designated criterium track in South Australia and provides amateur through to elite level cyclists with the opportunity to train and compete in a designated car free environment in a central, easily accessible location.</p> <p>The responses also outlined that the realignment would result in a fundamental change to the course for racing and is not viewed by the users as an improvement.</p>
<p>Park Use Conflict</p>	<p>Submissions raised the need for existing use conflicts to be addressed, including cyclists, dog walkers and activation of the park.</p>
<p>Lighting and data collection</p>	<p>A number of submissions outlined the need for additional lighting in the park, with a focus on the heritage precinct area and criterium track.</p> <p>Increased and transparent data collection to capture park usage was raised to assist in the management of the Park.</p>
<p>Community Oval</p>	<p>The proposed inclusion of an additional community oval and increased programming of the open grassed spaces adjacent to the criterium track</p>

Key theme	Summary of Feedback
	<p>was not generally supported outside of the peak sporting bodies who showed interested in long term programming of this space.</p> <p>The cycling community raised concern that the increased programming of this space would significantly increase safety issues for riders and other park users.</p>
Events	<p>Recognition of the importance of Park 16 for economic and social benefit of large scale events such as VAILO Adelaide 500, Bridgestone World Solar Challenge, International Horse Trials, Pedal Prix, Adelaide Rally, AusCycling SA events, Adelaide Motorsport Festival.</p> <p>This a was sentiment from the organised event users of the Park, but not generally supported by the broader community who outlined the need for events to be less disruptive to the community usage of the Park.</p>

4. INVESTMENT PRIORITIES

Consultation findings have allowed for identification of the community expectations for priority investment areas. These include:

- Improvements to the path network (and cycling infrastructure) to be costed and external funding partnerships and Council budget funding to be sought from 2024/25.
- Prepare and include a costed tree planting schedule in the updated draft Master Plan to increase tree canopy, particularly over existing and new paths, to complement the wetlands and strategic open space areas (understorey planting to support biodiversity values).
- Detailed design and initiation of works for the rehabilitation of Botanic Creek to target commencement in the 2024/25 financial year.
- Planning and installation of interpretative signage (focused on the environment, Kaurna culture and historical values of the Park) to target commencement in the last quarter of 23/24 financial year and priority funding to be sought in 2025/26 Council budget processes for the design and incorporation of additional interpretive elements (e.g. public art, landscape design).
- Funding for concept design of a nature-based play space to be sought from 2024/25 at the earliest and priority funding for concept design to be sought in 2025/26 Council budget processes. Delivery of a play space targeting delivery in 2025/26 to 2026/27.
- Funding for public toilet improvements to be sought from 2024/25 at the earliest and priority funding sought in 2025/26 Council budget processes.

The refined Master Plan will incorporate specific metrics and targets to achieve the master plan vision statements and principles, and an implementation plan with costings for priority projects.

APPENDIX 1 – WRITTEN STAKEHOLDER SUBMISSIONS SUMMARY

In addition to email submissions, letter submissions were received from:

1. South Australian Motor Sport Board
2. Adelaide Park Lands Association
3. Norwood Cycling Club
4. AusCycling
5. The North Adelaide Society
6. South East City Residents Association (SECRA)
7. South Australian Cricket Association (SACA)
8. South Australian National Football League (SANFL)

These submissions have been summarised in the “what we heard” section of this report with further summary, analysis and consideration provided in Table 1.

Table 1 – High-level summary of written submissions

Submission	Summary of Feedback	Analysis of Feedback	Consideration of Feedback
<p>SA Motor Sport Board (SAMSB)</p>	<p>As a major user of Pakapakanthi / Victoria Park (Park 16), the South Australian Motor Sport Board support the CoA’s vision to balance multiple users needs whilst providing an aspirational, inspiring and sustainable blueprint for the Park but sought further consideration in a number of areas summarised under the following headings:</p> <p>Major events as important current and future uses of Park 16</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Importance of Park 16 for economic and social benefit of large scale events such as VAILO Adelaide 500, Bridgestone World Solar Challenge, International Horse Trials, Pedal Prix, Adelaide Rally, AusCycling SA events, Adelaide Motorsport Festival. <p>Balancing natural and built-form elements to maximise multi-use outcomes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supports CoA’s vision to improve visual amenity and biodiversity of the Park by investing in tree planting and revegetation but notes the right balance is needed with existing built-form infrastructure and the utility and function of this infrastructure. Seeks consultation on changes to built-form elements and encourages multi-use spaces. 	<p>The following initiatives in the draft Master Plan are generally supported by the respondent:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sealing existing shared pathways across Park 16 to improve public safety, disability access, limits dust. Greening alongside pathways. Underground and upgrades to electrical systems and EV infrastructure provision Relocation of toilet facilities to a more suitable location near the heritage grandstand. Increased lighting and renewable energy solutions. Renewed and realigned pathways and proposes alternative options to minimise need for closures. <p>The following initiatives in the draft Master Plan are not generally supported by the respondent:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> New Wakefield Road pedestrian crossover point which overlaps with the racetrack and creates a safety issue Revealing the existing stormwater drains on East Terrace, to the south of the existing playing fields as it intersects with current car parking for the race – seeks to discuss alternatives. 	<p>The feedback provided has been considered and elements will be incorporated into a revised and Final Master Plan.</p> <p><u>Wakefield Road pedestrian crossover</u></p> <p>The inclusion of a signalised or safe road crossing has been retained as it provides for increased safety for Park users year round and enhances the Park Lands Trail.</p> <p><u>Revealing the existing stormwater drains</u></p> <p>The revealing of the stormwater drains and rehabilitation of Botanic Creek has environmental benefits. Much of the feedback from the broader community supported increased environmental benefits, greening and protection of biodiversity. This element will therefore maintained in the Master Plan and aligns with the purpose in which the Park Lands are held.</p> <p><u>Additional Community Playing Field</u></p> <p>Administration agree that the location and extent of a new playing field is not appropriate and has not been retained in the revised Master Plan given the feedback provided by the users and broader community. This space will be retained as an irrigated, open and accessible space for park users.</p>

Submission	Summary of Feedback	Analysis of Feedback	Consideration of Feedback
	<p>Minimising infrastructure build times and impacts of events</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes elements in the draft Master Plan may increase infrastructure build times for events and amplify impacts to the community, and relevance to the new sporting fields, which overlap with the existing race infrastructure and access points (alternatives provided by SAMSB). <p>Sealing Park 16 pathways to improve functionality and inclusiveness</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support for sealing existing shared pathways across Park 16 to improve public safety, and disability access, and limit dust. Recognises the need to balance pathway sealing with reduced heat load and recommends greening alongside pathways. <p>Considering a longer-term horizon for Park 16 Master Planning</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> References CoA's goal to double the population by 2040 and recommends a 20-year horizon for the Master Plan and a broader focus on sustainability, support for underground electrical systems and EV infrastructure provision. <p>The SAMSB submissions includes specific feedback on proposals contained in the draft Master Plan.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Location and extent of some new playing fields interfere with existing access and thoroughfare points that are important for events and infrastructure builds. 	<p><u>Redevelopment of amenity block/toilet</u></p> <p>Master Plan is to be amended to reflect the redevelopment of the existing amenity block as a priority. The redevelopment will prioritise accessibility for Park users and will seek a 'no net increase' in build form across the Park. The position of this facility has also been considered to ensure it is appropriately located for community use.</p> <p><u>Potential removal of asphalt pathway linking 'sweat track'</u></p> <p>The removal of hard stand areas has been raised by the broader community as a priority for the Park. Council will work with SAMSB to identify opportunities which can meet the needs of motorsport, whilst also considering more climate resilient options and the reduction of hard stand areas.</p> <p><u>Increased lighting</u></p> <p>Increased lighting along path routes to improve park safety can be investigated and included in the master plan with a focus on the northeastern section.</p> <p>Further consideration needs to be given to additional lighting in the southern area of the park and potential impacts on the Key Biodiversity Area.</p>

Submission	Summary of Feedback	Analysis of Feedback	Consideration of Feedback
	<p>BUILT FORM – and natural elements to be in the right balance.</p> <p>Redevelopment of existing toilet block near grandstand</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Relocation of toilet facilities to a more suitable location near the heritage grandstand. <p>Potential removal of asphalt pathway linking ‘sweat track’ pathway to proposed aggregate pathway</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Considered critical infrastructure for the race, a sealed or asphalt path remains important for pedestrian and disability access. <p>New Wakefield Road pedestrian crossover point</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Overlaps with the racetrack and creates a safety issue. Proposes shifting the pedestrian crossing further west, which would enable the crossing to remain open longer. Realignments of paths and pedestrian crossover points that require infrastructure should be avoided on main racing circuit sections. <p>New lighting infrastructure at Park 16</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support increased lighting and recommends renewable energy solutions. 		

Submission	Summary of Feedback	Analysis of Feedback	Consideration of Feedback
	<p>Reveal existing stormwater drains on East Terrace, to the south of the existing playing fields</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Intersects with current car parking for the race and alternatives will need to be discussed with CoA. <p>SHARED PATHWAYS – supports renewed and realigned pathways and proposes alternative options to minimise need for closures.</p> <p>Dual pathways proposed from south-east to north-west</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Would need to be closed for several months. <p>New aggregate pathway parallel to VA 500 “Pit Straight” Grandstand</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Would need to be closed for 4-5 months per year. <p>New aggregate pathway proposed for Wakefield Road</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> May intersect with the protected tree zone and pedestrian overpass for the race and would necessitate temporary closures. <p>GREENING</p> <p>Ground treatments required to accommodate re-alignment of criterium track</p>		

Submission	Summary of Feedback	Analysis of Feedback	Consideration of Feedback
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will likely impact mature trees, remediation to consider all event patron movements. <p>ALIGNMENT OF INFRASTRUCTURE</p> <p>Extend electrical infrastructure for ‘Smart Park’ EV charging facilities to leverage future opportunity for other sustainable and innovative events and facilities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Existing electrical infrastructure including underground services and distribution boards will require upgrade and design. Expand for future electric motor sports. <p>New playing fields in the north-eastern sections of Park 16</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Location and extent of some new playing fields interfere with existing access and thoroughfare points that are important for events and infrastructure builds. Specific details provided and adjustments proposed. 		
<p>Norwood Cycling Club</p>	<p>Introductory statement regarding the year-round use of the only Criterium Track available to its members and long-standing use of the Park.</p> <p>Overall support for a quality space respecting its environmental and cultural heritage and providing an engaging and appropriate space for uses, ranging from unstructured community use through to major events.</p>	<p>The following initiatives in the draft Master Plan are generally supported by the respondent:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supports maximising use of the Park but existing user needs not to be impacted (risk of conflicts not to be passed on to cycling users). Support for ring route multiuse path around the criterium track, redevelopment of the toilet block including storage space, 	<p>The City of Adelaide acknowledge the long standing history of cycling in Victoria Park for cycling in South Australia, including criterium and pedal prix racing.</p> <p>The Master Plan has been amended to acknowledge that the criterium track is currently the only facility in the State and must therefore be considered as a regional or state level facility given the nature of events that are held at the facility.</p>

Submission	Summary of Feedback	Analysis of Feedback	Consideration of Feedback
	<p>The submissions include specific feedback organised under headings.</p> <p>That cyclists are a valid and encouraged user of the park</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Master Plan needs to acknowledge the legitimacy of the circuit and design and management approaches to address challenges between different users. Requests a safety and design risk assessment is conducted and appropriate controls put in place at conflict points and at high-risk areas. Specific recommendations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recognise regional significance of the criterium track as the only facility in the state Address how increased activation will be managed Physical controls to reduce conflict and improve safety (such as barriers, access gates, separation, signage, passive controls like hedging) Reduce impacts from other events. <p>Design changes that impact the criterium circuit</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Realignment of the criterium circuit is not supported. 	<p>connecting Victoria Park to the southern suburbs and north eastern suburbs</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Suggests new opportunities such as sealed path network, more track configurations, lighting, power and shelter. <p>The following initiatives in the draft Master Plan are not generally supported by the respondent:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Realignment of the criterium circuit is not supported. Proposed separation of the finishing straight from the grandstand is not supported. Suggests a cycling safety barrier on the grandstand instead is not supported. Additional crossing points of the circuit with no form of control is not supported. <p><u>New initiatives proposed</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Suggests new opportunities such as sealed path network, more track configurations, lighting, power and shelter. Physical controls to reduce conflict and improve safety (such as barriers, access gates, separation, signage, passive controls like hedging) 	<p>The feedback provided has been considered and elements will be incorporated into a revised and Final Master Plan.</p> <p><u>Criterium Track Realignment</u></p> <p>The strong feedback provided by the criterium track users regarding the proposed realignment of the track is acknowledged.</p> <p>The proposed realignment will be removed from the Master Plan as it would not result in desired outcomes.</p> <p>The benefit of the proposed realignment included an additional community oval, however there has been limited support for this given the conflicts that it would cause between cycling and motorsport. Additional community ovals could be investigated if motorsport does not continue beyond 2026.</p> <p><u>New initiatives proposed</u></p> <p>The new initiatives proposed have been considered in the broader context of the Park in finalising this Master Plan.</p> <p><u>Physical Barriers</u></p> <p>The use of physical controls to reduce conflicts and improve safety can be considered, however permanent barriers or access gates are not supported by Council administration as this would</p>

Submission	Summary of Feedback	Analysis of Feedback	Consideration of Feedback
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposed separation of the finishing straight from the grandstand is not supported. Suggests a cycling safety barrier on the grandstand instead is not supported. Additional crossing points of the circuit with no form of control is not supported. Encourages limited crossing points on the circuit and passive and active controls. Asks that the event mode of the circuit be acknowledged in the Master Plan. <p>Challenges of adding additional users</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supports maximising use of the Park but existing user needs not to be impacted (risk of conflicts not to be passed on to cycling users). <p>Other matters</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific details and factual corrections to the Master Plan provided Support for ring route multiuse path around the criterium track, redevelopment of the toilet block including storage space, connecting Victoria Park to the southern suburbs and north eastern suburbs. Suggests new opportunities such as sealed path network, more track 		<p>permanently reduce the open nature of the Park.</p> <p>The use of natural barriers, achieved through tree planting and greening can be considered in collaboration with the user groups.</p> <p>Outside of the use of the criterium track, this section of the park is used by the general public and should not be inhibited by permanent physical controls or barriers that may reduce the openness of the park setting.</p> <p><u>Increased lighting</u></p> <p>Increased lighting along path routes to improve park safety can be investigated and included in the master plan with a focus on the north eastern section, however given the Park provides for a Key Biodiversity Area, increased lighting in the southern end of the Park is not supported.</p> <p>City of Adelaide will continue to work with user groups in the finalisation of this Master Plan and its implementation.</p>

Submission	Summary of Feedback	Analysis of Feedback	Consideration of Feedback
	<p>configurations, lighting, power and shelter.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Would like an opportunity to continue working with CoA on the Master Plan. • Possibility to support the Master Plan with funding. 		
<p>Adelaide Park Lands Association</p>	<p>Introductory statements regarding the impact of the motorsports and the Minister's unfettered power to, from time to time, declare a larger or different area for motor sport.</p> <p>Notes that the Master Plan acknowledges that it has been prepared on the basis of an assumption: "that motor sports events will continue to be programmed on the site."</p> <p>Suggest that the Master Plan (perhaps an addendum or additional chapter) should anticipate the end of motor racing in this Park and promote a vision for what Victoria Park / Pakapakanthi (Park 16) could become if it was not used for motor sport events.</p> <p>Welcomes and supports the following provisions within the draft plan</p> <p>5.2 - strengthening the path hierarchy</p> <p>5.3 - greening Fullarton Road</p> <p>5.4 - celebrate cultural connections</p> <p>5.6 - additional tree and biodiversity plantings, although the target to increase</p>	<p>The submission provides general support for the Master Plan, however, raises concern that the Master Plan assumes that motorsport will continue in the Park and that hard stand areas would continue to exist in the Park even if motorsport was to cease.</p> <p>The following initiatives in the draft Master Plan are generally supported by the respondent:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strengthening the path hierarchy • Greening Fullarton Road • Celebrate cultural connections • Additional tree and biodiversity plantings, increase tree canopy cover across the park by only 4% is far too timid and unambitious • Upgrade of amenity block <p>The following initiatives in the draft Master Plan are not generally supported by the respondent:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The hard stand areas will continue to be included in the park post motor racing. 	<p>The feedback provided has been considered and elements will be incorporated into a revised and Final Master Plan.</p> <p>The initiatives that are not supported have been considered in the broader context of the Master plan and Park usage.</p> <p><u>Future of Motor Sport</u></p> <p>The Master Plan makes an appropriate assumption that motorsport will continue in the Park given the current State Government's 5 year commitment.</p> <p>If this event does not continue, Council may investigate amendments to its CLMP and updates to the Master Plan.</p> <p><u>Hard stand areas/Pavilion</u></p> <p>Areas of hard stand within Victoria Park have designated uses beyond the requirements of motorsport, including for criterium and pedal prix events.</p> <p>A review of the hard stand areas can be undertaken if the use of the park for motorsport does not continue. The Update to Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy will include a review of the</p>

Submission	Summary of Feedback	Analysis of Feedback	Consideration of Feedback
	<p>tree canopy cover across the park by only 4% is far too timid and unambitious.</p> <p>5.7 - reveal and reconnect the water</p> <p>Raises reservations with the following elements of the Master Plan</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • assumption that hard paved surfaces will be a feature of this Park indefinitely, even after motor racing has ended. • proposals for a 'Pavilion' infrastructure should be re-examined to discourage any expectation that the vast extent of hard paved surfaces within this Park will be maintained indefinitely. • Supports an 'upgrade the existing amenities block in the northern section of the Park (pp 46-47) it is pleasing to note the recommendation that any expansion of building footprint should be for "universally accessible" use. This benefits the concept of a Park as a public space rather than a collection of privatised spaces as has been the fate of many sites within the Adelaide Park Lands. • Section 5.9 (page 49) should be revised to remove suggestions that a public park should provide car parking, and electric vehicle charging. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The assumption that motorsport will continue to be programmed in the Park. • The proposed Pavilion is not supported • Inclusion of carparking and EV charging <p><u>New initiatives proposed</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suggest that the Master Plan should anticipate the end of motor racing in this Park and promote a vision for what Victoria Park / Pakapakanthi (Park 16) could become if it was not used for motor sport events. 	<p>Adelaide Park Lands hard surfaces and identify a number of hard stand areas to return to a Park Lands Purpose.</p> <p>The inclusion of a Pavilion has been removed from the Master Plan and replaced with an 'outdoor room' created through planting.</p> <p><u>Carparking – EV Charging</u></p> <p>The inclusion of carparking and electric vehicle charging has been maintained. Whilst car parking on the Park Lands should be minimised and reduced, the provision of car parking for park users is deemed appropriate, given the proximity of the park to residential areas.</p> <p>The inclusion of electric vehicle (EV) charging is a Council wide initiative. EV charges are proposed on Park Lands roads and existing carparking to reflect the diverse usage and regional appeal of the Park.</p>
AusCycling	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concern about extra pedestrian movement near the criterium track, 	<p>The following initiatives in the draft Master Plan are generally supported by the respondent:</p>	<p>The City of Adelaide acknowledge the long standing history of cycling in Victoria Park</p>

Submission	Summary of Feedback	Analysis of Feedback	Consideration of Feedback
	<p>realignment and internal use of the criterium track.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seeks signage, shade and facilities for track users. Seeks a permanent barrier along the tracks edge for the length of the grandstand (or a risk assessment of the existing concrete steps and their proximity to the track). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Additional shade / greening Facilities for criterium track users <p>The following initiatives in the draft Master Plan are not generally supported by the respondent:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Realignment of Criterium track <p><u>New initiatives proposed</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Suggests more signage, shade and facilities for track users Physical controls to reduce conflict and improve safety (such as barriers, access gates, separation, signage, passive controls like hedging) 	<p>for cycling in South Australia, including criterium and pedal prix racing.</p> <p>The Master Plan will be amended to acknowledge that the criterium track is currently the only facility in the State and must therefore be considered as a regional or state level facility.</p> <p><u>Criterium Track</u></p> <p>The feedback provided has been considered and elements will be incorporated into a revised and Final Master Plan.</p> <p>The strong feedback provided by the criterium track users regarding the proposed realignment of the track is acknowledged.</p> <p>The proposed realignment will be removed from the Master Plan as it would not result in desired outcomes.</p> <p>The benefit of the proposed realignment included an additional community oval, however there has been limited support for this given the conflicts that it would cause between cycling and motorsport. Additional community ovals could be investigated if motorsport does not continue beyond 2026.</p> <p>The proposed increased greening and redevelopment of the toilet facilities will provide benefit to the criterium track users.</p>

Submission	Summary of Feedback	Analysis of Feedback	Consideration of Feedback
			<p><u>New initiatives proposed</u></p> <p>The new initiatives proposed have been considered in the broader context of the Park in finalising this Master Plan.</p> <p>The use of physical controls to reduce conflicts and improve safety can be considered, however permanent barriers or access gates are not supported by Council administration as this would permanently reduce the open nature of the Park.</p> <p>The use of natural barriers, achieved through tree planting and greening can be considered in collaboration with the user groups.</p> <p>Outside of the use of the criterium track, this section of the park is used by the general public and should not be inhibited by permanent physical controls or barriers that may reduce the openness of the park setting.</p> <p>City of Adelaide will continue to work with user groups in the finalisation of this Master Plan and its implementation.</p>
<p>The North Adelaide Society</p>	<p>Introductory statement regarding the iconic status of the Adelaide Park Lands and the immense and significant contribution they make to the natural heritage, environment, and ecology of the City of Adelaide.</p> <p>Victoria Park is a park of many uses within a parkland setting, not least of which is supporting its natural</p>	<p>Commends aspect of the Master Plan but also provides constructive feedback on elements of the Master Plan and the usage of the Park in general.</p> <p>The following initiatives in the draft Master Plan are not generally supported by the respondent:</p>	<p>The feedback provided has been considered and elements will be incorporated into a revised and Final Master Plan.</p> <p>The initiatives that are not supported have been considered in the broader context of the Master Plan and Park usage.</p>

Submission	Summary of Feedback	Analysis of Feedback	Consideration of Feedback
	<p>environment and ecosystems, and the health and wellbeing of people.</p> <p>Commends aspects of the master plan, however, raises concern with some aspects including</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regrets that the reference in “Part 1.2 Strategic Context” makes no reference to Chapter 15 of the current CLMP and cites a paragraph that does not appear in the General Provisions chapter of the current CLMP. • Proposes that Victoria Park, ought to be nurtured and ‘master planned’ as valued publicly accessible parklands with low/no impact uses that are sensitive to its park lands context. • Regrets that the Master Plan has been prepared based on motor sports events continuing to be programmed at the site and greening is limited to areas that will not impact on sports and recreation. • Raises concern that a significant portion of the park is given over to increasingly redundant motor sport racing for too much of the year. • Raises concern relating to ‘smart infrastructure’ in a park context that is ancillary to supporting the public use of this parkland. • Suggests it is counter-productive to seek to realign a criterium track to 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Further activation of the park for uses that are ‘non-park land uses’ – such as hard stand areas and motor racing • The assumption that motorsport will continue as a use in the park into the future • Not supportive of the realignment of the criterium track in order to accommodate further activation of the Park with uses that are non-parkland uses. • Not supportive of increased hardstand areas for pathways 	<p><u>Community Land Management Plan</u></p> <p>The Draft Master plan reflects the wording in the recently endorsed Adelaide Park Lands Community Land Management Plans – Annexure 16 (Pg 57). The General Provisions are now superseded.</p> <p>Council administration agree that Victoria Park should be planned as a valued publicly accessible Park. The Draft Master Plan reflect this, but also acknowledges that there are a number of uses in this Park that have high community benefit, such as the Criterium track and may at times limit public access.</p> <p><u>Future of Motor Sport</u></p> <p>The Master Plan makes an appropriate assumption that motorsport will continue in the Park given the current State Government’s 5 year commitment.</p> <p>The increased programming ‘activation’ of the hard stand areas is maintained in the Master Plan. The Park is very much activated currently, however additional programming of the hard stand areas would provide for different experiences and makes use of a space in the park that is otherwise alienated for the sole purpose of motorsport or pedal prix.</p> <p>If this event does not continue, Council may investigate amendments to its CLMP and updates to the Master Plan.</p>

Submission	Summary of Feedback	Analysis of Feedback	Consideration of Feedback
	<p>create a “plaza” in a park that already has considerable hard stand surfaces.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Considers the use of the bitumen ‘motor sports pits area’ in the middle of the Park as a centre-point for a series of non-parklands uses and new built-form is at odds with the draft master plan. • Efforts to “reduce conflicts between pedestrians, cyclists and joggers through new and improved path connections around the park” ought not result in increased black heat-sink bitumen within the park or reduction in vegetated, grassed or treed areas. • Suggests reconsideration of Part 5.8. 		<p><u>Criterion Track Realignment</u></p> <p>The strong feedback provided by the criterium track users regarding the proposed realignment of the track is acknowledged.</p> <p>The proposed realignment will be removed from the Master Plan as it would not result in desired outcomes.</p> <p>The benefit of the proposed realignment included an additional community oval, however there has been limited support for this given the conflicts that it would cause between cycling and motorsport. Additional community ovals could be investigated if motorsport does not continue beyond 2026.</p> <p><u>Hardstand areas/Pavilion</u></p> <p>Areas of hard stand within Victoria Park have designated uses beyond the requirements of motorsport, including for criterium and pedal prix events.</p> <p>A review of the hardstand areas can be undertaken if the use of the park for motorsport does not continue. The Update to Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy will include a review of the Adelaide Park Lands hard surfaces and identify a number of hardstand areas to return to a Park Lands Purpose.</p>
<p>South East City Residents Association</p>	<p>Notes submission to the City of Adelaide (CoA) Council (August 30, 2022) – identified five major items and made these recommendations:</p>	<p>Commends aspect of the Master Plan including increasing biodiversity and tree planting but also provides constructive</p>	<p><u>Community Participation</u></p> <p>Council administration acknowledge SECRA’s willingness to contribute to the greening and sustainability of the Park and</p>

Submission	Summary of Feedback	Analysis of Feedback	Consideration of Feedback
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Climate Proof the park by increasing the tree canopy in northern and southern sections and remove, or shade, as many bitumen surfaces as possible. We considered this required urgent action because of the climate emergency and the significant lack of trees in the Park, most critically along walking paths and pedestrian / cycling thoroughfares and around playing fields. 2. Enhance and emphasise the park's biodiversity including the use of the new Miyawaki horticulture technique for rapid revegetation and enhancement of biodiversity. 3. Increase opportunities for family recreation and an appreciation of nature. 4. Reduce the negative impacts of major events e.g. blocking public access during excessively lengthy construction and disassembly of race infrastructure 5. Encourage community participation in maintaining and managing Victoria Park as a People's Park. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerned that the draft Master Plan has been prepared on the basis that motor sport will continue to be programmed at the site and that 	<p>feedback on elements of the Master Plan and the usage of the Park in general.</p> <p>The following initiatives in the draft Master Plan are not generally supported by the respondent:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The proposal to increase the Park's tree canopy by 4% is totally inadequate. • Draft Master Plan does not include increased canopy trees are proposed for the open northern end of the Park • Major event blocking public access to the Park • Activation of the hard stand areas • Redevelopment of the amenity block if it results in increased building footprint <p>New initiatives proposed</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Urges the Lord Mayor and City of Adelaide Council to engage with the Motor Sports Board and the relevant State Government ministers to reach a compromise whereby some trees at least can be planted in this (northern) area of the Park. • Recommends that the City of Adelaide Council sets a target increase in tree canopy of at least 20% for Victoria Park • Green Pakapakanthi (led by SECRA) proposes a new race for Victoria Park: the GP500 race. In collaboration with 	<p>the significant and ongoing contribution that they have made to the Park through initiatives such as 'Green Pakapakanthi'.</p> <p>Council will continue to engage with user groups and the broader community to encourage community participation in the Park.</p> <p><u>Greening / Tree Planting / Biodiversity</u></p> <p>Council's Administration support SECRA's views on the need for increased greening of the Park. The proposed 4% increase is based on a strategic assessment of the Park and its current uses. Greening and increased tree planting must be balanced with the uses of the Park such as events and sport and recreation. Key Biodiversity Areas have not been included in the increased greening areas as these require more detailed consideration to ensure that planting does not impact remnant vegetation or habitats. These areas will be considered outside of the 4% increase.</p> <p>Opportunities for infill planting can be explored in the northern section of the Park. However the conflict between the uses of cycling and motorsport must be considered.</p> <p>As shown in the Master Plan there is significant opportunity for perimeter planting around the playing fields, along the pathways and the wetland.</p>

Submission	Summary of Feedback	Analysis of Feedback	Consideration of Feedback
	<p>greening initiatives have been selected recognising that open spaces are limited to areas that will not impact on sports and recreational activities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Raises concerns that motor sports events have negative impacts including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need for extensive hard stand which have a negative effect on the park’s ability to provide a health-promoting, safe recreational space for SA’s citizens. • Limiting the ability to produce a long-sighted plan for the park that meets the dual challenges of global heating (much longer and hotter summers) and substantial high-density inner-city living and population growth that will require greater access to open green spaces. • Supports the vision statements but is of the view that it is missing a statement about that the park “Contribute to people’s physical and mental health”. • Supports many of the concepts and plans that are described, but makes a number of observations and recommendations, including 	<p>CoA, Green Pakapakanthi volunteers will race to plant 500 trees for shade by September 2024.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enhance and emphasise the park’s biodiversity including the use of the new Miyawaki horticulture technique for rapid revegetation and enhancement of biodiversity 	<p>The 4% increase is a minimum increase . The Master Plan will be updated to better reflect proposed additional tree plantings.</p> <p>The proposal of planting 500 trees before September 2024 can be a further discussion between Council administration and SECRA. And could form part of succession planting or working towards the increase 4% tree canopy.</p> <p>The use of Miyawak has been trailed in the Park. This technique can be used in some circumstances and in small pockets but not as a Park wide approach. Feedback from some community members outlined the need for the Park to maintain its openness and grassland. A balance of grassland and bushland is therefore needed.</p> <p><u>Future of Motor Sport</u></p> <p>The Master Plan makes an appropriate assumption that motorsport will continue in the Park given the current State Government’s 5 year commitment.</p> <p>If this event does not continue, Council may investigate amendments to its CLMP and updates to the Master Plan including reviewing the future of the hard stand surfaces.</p> <p><u>Redevelopment of amenity block/toilet</u></p> <p>The redevelopment of the existing amenity block will priorities accessibility for Park users and will seek a ‘no net increase’ in build form. The position of this facility has</p>

Submission	Summary of Feedback	Analysis of Feedback	Consideration of Feedback
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Master Plan falls short in its vision for the Park to “Be greener, cooler and more climate resilient” • The proposal to increase the Park’s tree canopy by 4% is inadequate • No canopy trees are proposed for the open northern end of the Park to cool and protect cyclists, pedal car drivers and children at play on the criterium track and sports spectators who gather around the expanded playing surfaces. • Urges engagement with SA Motor Sports Board and the State Government to reach a compromise for additional tree planting in the northern area of the Park. • Seeks the planting of trees along paths as soon as possible to protect pedestrians, runners and cyclists. • Scope to greatly increase the number of trees around playing fields above what is depicted in the Master Plan diagram (p44). • Seeks a more ambitious, climate-appropriate tree canopy target with far more trees be planted. • Hard surfaces and the heat island effect – seeks a more effective 		<p>also been considered to ensure it is appropriately located for community use.</p> <p><u>Hard stand areas</u></p> <p>Areas of hard stand within Victoria Park have designated uses beyond the requirements of motorsport, including for criterium and pedal prix events.</p> <p>A review of the hard stand areas can be undertaken if the use of the park for motorsport does not continue. The Update to Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy will include a review of the Adelaide Park Lands hard surfaces and identify a number of hard stand areas to return to a Park Lands Purpose.</p> <p>The increased programming ‘activation’ of the hardstand areas is proposed to be maintained in the Master Plan. The Park is very much activated currently, however additional programming of the hard stand areas would provide for different experiences and make use of a space in the park that is otherwise alienated for the sole purpose of motorsport or pedal prix.</p> <p>If this event does not continue, Council may investigate amendments to its CLMP and updates to the Master Plan.</p> <p>City of Adelaide will continue to work with user groups in the finalisation of this Master Plan and its implementation and will continue to encourage community participation in the greening of the Park.</p>

Submission	Summary of Feedback	Analysis of Feedback	Consideration of Feedback
	<p>remedy to deal with extensive hard surfaces in the northern end of the park.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strongly supports efforts to enhance biodiversity in the park. • Strongly supports the various concepts to help visitors of all ages appreciate and learn about the Park’s cultural history and biodiversity • Agrees that there will be opportunities for the Council to pursue partnerships with schools and universities, and community groups such as SECRA. • Welcomes the opportunity to work with council to design and establish additional Miyawaki pocket forests and a biodiversity outdoor classroom and interpretive hub. • Concerned that little attention has been given to ensuring equity of access to the Park for the disabled and the very elderly • Little mention is made of improving access for those using public transport. • Concerned that year-round activation of the hard stand area could shut down this end of the Park for most of the year. 		

Submission	Summary of Feedback	Analysis of Feedback	Consideration of Feedback
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned activation and redeveloped amenities block will lead to more built form – seeks ‘no increase in size (of facilities) on park land’. Lastly, welcomes the opportunity to continue its collaboration with the City of Adelaide Council to implement (and extend) the greening initiatives recommended in the Draft Master Plan. 		
SA Cricket Association	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supports the Vision Statements for Victoria Park / Pakapakanthi (Park 16); namely: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Celebrate cultural and social values Provide consistent quality community sports and recreation A park that attracts and supports a diverse range of events and activities The three cricket ovals and concrete wickets are not shown. For clarity, the SACA requests that both the three ovals and concrete wickets are outlined. Supports the site activation initiative: “Increase the number of sporting fields within the northern precinct”. Interested in the provision of community junior cricket on the two proposed ovals to support new / modified formats to attract and retain 	<p>The following initiatives in the draft Master Plan are generally supported by the respondent:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> General support for the Master Plan values and principles Supports greater activation of the Park Supports the inclusion of more public amenities Support the need for additional community ovals given the increase demand in cricket. <p>The following initiatives in the draft Master Plan are not generally supported by the respondent:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The three cricket ovals and concrete wickets are not shown. For clarity, the SACA requests that both the three ovals and concrete wickets are outlined. 	<p><u>Clarity of concrete wickets</u></p> <p>Master Plan is to be updated to ensure that it reflects the provision of concrete wickets.</p> <p><u>Community Oval</u></p> <p>The proposed realignment of the criterium track will be removed from the master plan, this concept was tested with the users of the track and was not supported.</p> <p>The benefit of the proposed realignment of the track included an additional community oval, however given limited support for this and the conflicts that it would cause between cycling and motorsport the additional oval will no longer be an element of the Master Plan.</p> <p>Additional community ovals could be investigated if motorsport does not continue beyond 2026.</p>

Submission	Summary of Feedback	Analysis of Feedback	Consideration of Feedback
	<p>participants. supports several affiliated multicultural sporting associations to facilitate cricket programs and competitions year-round.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Highlights that the growing demand for cricket during the winter months and the reduced supply of cricket facilities due to shared use with football codes and development at the Adelaide Aquatic Centre site have further highlighted the need for more places to play. Supports the provision of public amenities and other supporting infrastructure such as drinking fountains, litter bins etc. throughout Victoria Park / Pakapakanthi (Park 16). Would like the opportunity to enter discussions regarding lease arrangements for the recreation open spaces and believes that long term leasing will benefit all user groups. 		
SANFL	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The success of this growth female participation has led to a significant increase in player numbers. The park, particularly the northern area in Victoria Park, provides perfect amenity. 	<p>The following initiatives in the draft Master Plan are generally supported by the respondent:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support for the additional community oval which could help meet the increasing demand for oval-shaped sports (AFL/Cricket) 	<p><u>Community Oval</u></p> <p>The proposed realignment of the criterium track has been removed from the master plan, this concept was tested with the users of the track and was not supported.</p> <p>The benefit of the proposed realignment of the track included an additional community oval, however given limited support for this and the conflicts that it would cause</p>

Submission	Summary of Feedback	Analysis of Feedback	Consideration of Feedback
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports the establishment of an oval-shaped sports field in front of the grandstand. • SANFL's vision to secure long-term usage in the Parklands and Victoria Park aligns to the following objectives of the Master Plan: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide consistent quality community sports and recreation • A park that attracts and supports a diverse range of events and activities • Celebrate cultural and social values. • The Draft Masterplan provides a fantastic opportunity for SANFL to partner with Adelaide City Council to activate Victoria Park with a range of Australian Rules Football activities throughout various timeslots • Support opportunity to commit (lease) to using the playing fields over a longer term than is currently allowed. • To inform further developments in Victoria Park, the high-level requirements to support Australian Rules Football include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Oval shaped playing fields • Improvement of playing surface to meet safety standards (drainage and irrigation) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Parks vision aligns to SANFL's vision to secure long term usage within the Park Lands <p>New Initiatives</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improved playing surface • Inclusion of AFL goal posts • Fencing around playing area • Sport lighting up to 150lux 	<p>between cycling and motorsport the additional oval will no longer be an element of the Master Plan.</p> <p>Additional community ovals could be investigated if motorsport does not continue beyond 2026.</p>

Submission	Summary of Feedback	Analysis of Feedback	Consideration of Feedback
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Australian Rules Football goal posts – both for passive and active recreation purposes • Fencing around the playing area • Sports lighting to 150lux • Football is a wonderful mechanism to encourage active, healthy lifestyles for players, officials and volunteers as well as general community members to get out in your local park as a spectator. 		

End of Report